

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/001,536	MUNK ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Robert P. Swiatek	3643

All Participants:

Status of Application: Allowed

(1) Robert P. Swiatek.

(3) ____.

(2) Mr. J. Michael Neary.

(4) ____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2004

Time: __

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Possible 35 USC 102(b)

Claims discussed:

77-81

Prior art documents discussed:

Palmer (US 2,330,185)

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicants' representative authorized cancelation of claim 81, a change in the dependency of claim 80, and amendment of claims 77-79 to change each occurrence of "coordination features" to --coordination means-- so as to invoke 35 USC 112, paragraph six. See examiner's amendment..